





# **OCION Funding Scheme Guidance**

#### Mission & Overview

The mission of the Oxford Cancer Immuno-Oncology Network (OCION) Funding Scheme is to provide short-term awards that pump-prime innovative, high-risk and proof-of-concept Oxford-based immuno-oncology research. Often the projects we support are at too early of a stage to be competitive for project/programme grant applications but have the potential to be with additional preliminary data. If you are unsure whether your proposal would be in scope, please contact <a href="mailto:cancer@medsci.ox.ac.uk">cancer@medsci.ox.ac.uk</a> for an informal discussion. Priority will be given to projects that nurture new collaborations and are multi-disciplinary. Moreover, to be successful applications should:

- 1) demonstrate genuine integration of immunology and cancer research;
- 2) demonstrate clear line of sight to translation;
- 3) be informed by patient and public involvement;
- 4) increase Oxford's immuno-oncology capacity;
- 5) be used to leverage follow-on external funding.

#### **Fund details**

Awards of up to £20,000 each are available for a maximum of 12 months starting on 1st December 2025.

Permitted expenses include running costs, consumables and equipment <£5,000. Staff costs (direct costs only) may only be included **only** where the supported staff member is already in post, to avoid delaying project timelines with recruitment. In your application, please state the reason why funding is not available for the post from other sources and the value the post will have on the success of the project.

## **Eligibility**

The lead applicant must:

- be a member of the Oxford Cancer Immuno-Oncology Network (sign up by emailing cancer@medsci.ox.ac.uk);
- be an employee of the University of Oxford, holding an academic post, or of the Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust;
- be a postdoc, clinical trainee or independent principal investigator with a contract extending beyond the Award end date;
- be named as lead applicant on only one project submitted during any given round of the OCION Funding Scheme. There are no limitations on the number of projects on which applicants can be listed as collaborators;
- have at least bronze LEAF accreditation, or have submitted an application.







#### **PPI Review**

Patient and public involvement (PPI) is crucial in all stages of cancer research. It can improve the quality and relevance of your work, helping you better understand and articulate the benefits your research can have for cancer patients. Furthermore, PPI is becoming an increasingly important aspect of the research proposal review processes by external funding bodies. We have instigated patient and public reviews as part of our drive to better prepare applicants for applying for external funding after the end of the OCION award.

Submissions to the OCION Funding Scheme are reviewed by members of the Oxford Cancer PPI group. You will need to include a lay summary to describe your project including a lay title, explain why your research question is important and outline how you anticipate this will impact patient care in the future. Funding will be contingent on the approval by the PPI group and the scientific review committee. If your application is successful, you will be allocated two PPI group representatives to work with you during the project and as part of the group that you report to at the end of the award.

## **Application process**

Please complete the application form and submit with a 1-page CV and 3-page research proposal (inclusive of figures and references) to <a href="mailto:cancer@medsci.ox.ac.uk">cancer@medsci.ox.ac.uk</a> by midday on Friday 12<sup>th</sup> September 2025. Late submissions and those lacking any of the below sections will not be considered.

The 3-page research proposal should include:

- 1. Title
- **2. Lay summary** (up to 300 words). Please provide a lay title and summary of the research proposal that covers:
  - (i) Background ("why and who"): explain why your research question is important;
  - (ii) Aims ("what"): state what question(s) your research will address
  - (iii) Method ("how"): describe what you will do;
  - (iv) Impact/benefit ("so what"): outline how you anticipate this will impact patient care in the future;

This will be reviewed and scored by a member of the <u>Oxford Cancer PPI group</u> and so should be written for members of the public rather than researchers. Please refer to Oxford Cancer's lay summary guide for more information and/or further guidance on the on <u>NIHR</u> & <u>CRUK</u> websites. In brief your lay summary should:

- Be written in plain English, avoiding the use of jargon and explaining any technical terms or acronyms that have been included. Consider using short sentences (15-20 words) and subheadings. Use an active (rather than passive) voice. Use simple punctuation and words e.g. "helping" instead of "facilitating", "suggest" instead of "hypothesise". Consider converting percentages into proportions e.g. "3 out of 4" instead of "75%".
- Clearly articulate the clinical context and future patient/population impact of your work: why does your work matter, and why should patients be interested in it?
- Make clear the type of cancer you are targeting and how many people are affected.
- Provide a clear rationale for why this research is being conducted and its design.







Plus, the following in terms that will be comprehensible to a generalist audience of academics (rather than subject specialists):

- 3. Scientific abstract (up to 250 words)
- 4. Research objectives and proposed outcomes, with reference to
  - (i) the academic and/or clinical value of the research;
  - (ii) the collaborations involved and how these will be facilitated by the award;
  - (iii) how the award will increase Oxford's IO capacity.
- 5. Translational potential of the project. Describe the expected impact of the project on the future detection and treatment of cancer in the clinic and the plan for obtaining future funding after completion of the project.
- 6. Justification for support, which should address
  - (i) why you think OCION funds are appropriate for this project,
  - (ii) a clear articulation of how the requested funds will be used within the given timeframe.
- 7. Plans for future funding for this research topic (likely funders, award schemes, timescales) (up to 100 words).
  - (i) If an early career researcher, how this project will help you to establish your independent research niche, and how the activity differs from your current role or your current Group's research. Plans for your career development over the next 5 years, and how the award would help achieve these aims.

Project proposals will be reviewed by the selection committee and it is expected that successful projects will be announced in November 2025.

### Selection criteria and scoring

Applications will be reviewed by 3-4 members of the <u>selection committee</u>, including a member of the <u>Oxford Cancer PPI group</u>, who will score each project from 1-5 and provide their comments. These scores and comments will be considered and a final funding decision will be made by the OCION Steering Committee chaired by Professor Tim Elliott. Priority will be given to projects that meet the following criteria:

- High quality, innovative cancer research with a clear scientific rationale.
- Significant expected impact of the project, technology, method or infrastructure, including but not limited to enhanced eligibility for larger external funding schemes and the potential longer term scientific and/or clinical impacts (beyond the award).
- Alignment with the OCION Funding Scheme mission (as defined above).
- Collaborative Oxford research between more than one research group and/or infrastructure that will benefit researchers from multiple disciplines/cancer types.
- Appropriate funding requested and a realistic timeline for completing the proposed work.
- Worthwhile and important from a patient/public perspective.
- Clearly written lay summary.

Proposals will be scored using the following rubric:







Criteria	Score 4-5	Score 3	Score 1-2
Quality	Novel idea, supported by	Satisfactory scientific justification	No/poor scientific
	literature or preliminary/	with indistinct objectives	justification with uncertain
	clinical observations with		objectives
	specific, clear objectives		
Impact	Clear articulation of targeted	Vague plans for downstream	No/unrealistic plans for
	downstream funding with a	funding with limited potential for	downstream funding with
	high longer-term potential for	scientific/clinical impact	poor potential
	scientific/clinical impact		scientific/clinical impact
Alignment to	Strong alignment with the	Partial overlap with fund mission	No/poor alignment with
remit	fund mission statement		fund mission
Collaboration	Strong element of Oxford-	Oxford-based collaboration	Proposal only supports a
	based collaborative working.	between >1 research group	single research group
	For BRC-relevant projects,		
	clear inter-theme synergy		
Justification	Clear and accurate	Partial/vague justification for	Inappropriate amount of
	justification for funding	funds and timelines proposed	funding requested and
	requested and appropriate		unrealistic timelines
	timelines proposed		proposed
PPI:	Very well written lay	Satisfactory lay summary that can	Poorly written lay summary
(1) context; (2)	summary that is clear and	be understood with a little effort.	that is difficult to
impact and	easily understandable. Very	Somewhat worthwhile and	understand. Not worthwhile
relevance of	worthwhile and important	important from a patient/public	nor important from a
proposed work;	from a patient/ public	perspective but with numerous	patient/ public perspective
(3) presentation	perspective.	minor weaknesses/at least one	with numerous major
and content		moderate weakness.	weaknesses.